

ARTICLE 25

MERIT

Merit is for the recognition of noteworthy contributions to one's department, school, campus or college, the University and or professional discipline through the traditional avenues of teaching, research and service. It is also the most consistent means for moving beyond the cost-of-living increase traditionally recognized through the satisfactory performance increase provision. It is recognized that conditions vary within and among departments in terms of individual expectations, and it is agreed that awards at the various levels are designed to recognize individual achievement.

25.1 This article does NOT refer to ~~Academic Assistants, Facilities Scientists, Research Assistants or Research Associates~~ paid from grant funds or contracts.

25.2 The merit pool shall be distributed according to the procedures outlined below:

~~A. The Provost shall establish a contingency fund and shall distribute the remainder of the merit pool at his/her discretion among the Deans of the schools and colleges. If a merit award is recommended, it shall be no less than \$500.~~

A. The Provost shall distribute the entirety of the merit pool amount to the Deans of the schools and colleges in accordance with the rest of this article.

B. The Dean of a school or college shall establish a contingency fund from the total merit pool allotted to his/her school or college by the Provost. The total amount of the Dean's contingency funds shall not exceed 10% of the merit pool. Then the Dean shall establish a Department Head Merit Pool. The amount of this pool shall be determined by the annual merit percent multiplied by the total salaries of all department heads in that school or college. The remainder shall be distributed to the departments as the Department Merit Pool according in proportion to the department payroll. ~~at the discretion of the Dean among the departments.~~ Prior to the beginning of the academic year, the Dean will publish his/her merit criteria for the Dean's contingency fund for faculty and Department Heads within his/her school.

C. ~~The total amount of the contingency funds of both the Provost and the Deans shall not exceed 30% of the merit pool.~~

~~D. By means of a procedure approved by a majority of the faculty, departments may establish advisory committees for making recommendations for merit awards to the Department Head. Such committees may also present merit evaluations without mention of a dollar amount to the Dean for his/her consideration in determining the Department Head's merit award. In departmentalized schools each department may establish, by a majority vote, the criteria and also may establish priorities or the appropriate weighting of such criteria, that will be used to determine the departmental merit recommendations. In the event a department decides to permit the Department Head to establish the criteria and the appropriate weighting for merit recommendations, the Department Head shall inform all faculty members in writing of such~~

~~criteria no later than two months prior to the commencement of the academic year for which merit recommendations will be made. In non-departmentalized schools equivalent arrangements shall be established by Deans and faculty members.~~

C. *Consistent with the procedures outlined in [new Faculty Governance article, TA 4/26/2016], in departmentalized schools each department shall establish, by a majority vote, the criteria and also may establish priorities or the appropriate weighting of such criteria that will be used to determine the departmental merit awards. In the event a department decides to permit the Department Head to establish the criteria and the appropriate weighting for merit awards, the Department Head shall inform all faculty members in writing of such criteria no later than two months prior to the commencement of the academic year for which merit awards will be made. In non-departmentalized schools, equivalent arrangements shall be established by Deans and faculty members. Departments may establish advisory committees for making merit recommendations to the Department Head. Such committees may also present merit evaluations without mention of a dollar amount to the Dean for his/her consideration in determining the Department Head's merit award.*

E. D. Department Heads shall take departmental committee recommendations into consideration when making their own merit recommendations awards. In concert with the PTR requirements identified in the By-Laws, merit criteria shall include instructional, scholarly, service, and outreach excellence as appropriate. If merit is to be recommended awarded, it shall be no less than \$500.

F. E. On forms provided by the Provost, Department Heads shall forward their merit recommendations awards for members of their departments, other than themselves, along with recommendations of the advisory committee, to the Dean of the school or college. If merit is to be recommended awarded, it shall be no less than \$500.

G. F A faculty bargaining unit member may request information from his/her Department Head ~~information~~ regarding his/her departmental merit recommendation(s) award at any time after such information is transmitted to the Dean.

H. G. Department Heads shall inform each member of the department of his/her merit ~~recommendation~~ ^{award} at the same time such recommendation award is submitted to the Dean of the appropriate school or college. A faculty member has fourteen (14) calendar days from the time of the Department Head's submission to the Dean to discuss the Department Head's recommendation award with the Dean.

I. H. The Dean shall ~~review the recommendations of the Department Head and the departmental advisory committee. The Dean shall forward his/her own recommendations to the Provost.~~ forward the department merit awards to the Provost along with the Dean's supplemental awards made from the Dean's contingency fund.

~~J. Within two weeks of making his/her recommendations to the Provost, the office of the Dean shall compile and make available to the departments an abstract of merit awards. Such an abstract will give the number of people receiving a given range of award within the school and/or no award.~~

~~K. Departments and interdisciplinary units employing jointly appointed faculty shall agree upon a merit process for such faculty. The tenure home department may administer the merit award process and use its own merit criteria. The department will consider work performed in both the tenure home and the interdisciplinary unit. The Directors of Institutes and other interdisciplinary units shall advise the tenure home department and department heads of the faculty member's performance in his/her interdisciplinary field. A designee of the Provost shall establish merit procedures for Institute and Center Directors, and notify them of said procedures at the time of appointment.~~

J.I. Departments and interdisciplinary units employing jointly appointed faculty shall agree upon the administration of the merit process. The tenure home department may administer the merit award process and use their own merit criteria. The department will treat contributions towards interdisciplinary scholarship and creative work, the teaching of courses for the tenure home and interdisciplinary unit, and service to the department and interdisciplinary unit according to criteria determined by the department and interdisciplinary unit. The Directors of Institutes and other interdisciplinary units will advise departments and department heads as to the significance of the faculty member's publications within interdisciplinary fields. A designee of the Provost shall establish merit procedures for Institute and Center Directors as well, and notify them of said procedures at the point of appointment.

25.3 The University Administration will provide the UConn-AAUP a summary list of merit awards by fund and by individual on or before October 1st.

~~25.4— Judgments and decisions of the Provost which result in decrease of more than 50% in the Department Head's recommendations are subject to the grievance procedure described below only where there is evidence alleging that the decrease was arbitrary or capricious.~~

25.4 Merit awards shall be communicated to the bargaining unit member via the University email system no later than August 15 of the relevant fiscal year.

25.5 Merit Grievance Panel

Grievances on merit must be presented to the administrator in charge of collective bargaining within fourteen (14) calendar days of the receipt of the Provost's letter notifying the employee of his/her merit award. For the purpose of hearing merit grievances, an internal merit grievance panel shall be convened made up of one member of the administration chosen by the Provost, one member of the faculty bargaining unit chosen by UConn-AAUP, and a neutral party chosen by the first two individuals. The panel may either uphold or dismiss the grievance. In a

UConn-AAUP Proposal
May 18, 2017

case where the panel upholds the grievance, it shall recommend an appropriate merit award. ;
~~however, in no case shall the panel award more than the Department Head had originally
proposed. The decision of the panel is final and is not grievable or arbitrable. The amount of the
award will be at the sole discretion of the Merit Grievance Panel and shall be final. The
Administration will fund the award accordingly.~~

Tentative Approval

AAUP

_____/_____/_____
Date

University of Connecticut

_____/_____/_____
Date